

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/11/2001 Michael W. Leviten 09/904,181 R-456 1164 EXAMINER 7590 11/07/2003 PARAS JR, PETER DELTAGEN, INC. 1003 Hamilton Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Menlo Park, CA 94025 1632

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/904,181	LEVITEN, MICHAEL W.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter Paras, Jr.	1632
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apports the second section and the second section apport to the second section apports the second section sectio	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replex of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period interest or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a really within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	<u>July 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 26-36 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 26-36 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		
44)[7]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.
12)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
, -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kanniler.	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	in priority under 25 H C C I	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C.	§ 119(a)-(u) or (i).
a)	<u> </u>	to have been received	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		polication No.
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·	
Attachmen	~	and printing direction of olders.	33
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Applicant's amendment received on 7/9/03 has been entered. Claims 26, 31 and 34 have been amended. Claims 1-4, 11, 13-16, 21-25 and 37-40 have been cancelled. Claims 26-36 are pending and are under consideration.

It is noted that the amendment received on 7/9/03 is not in compliance with Rule 1.121. The amendment is not in compliance because claims 1-4, 11, 13-16, and 21-25 were indicated as withdrawn in the claims listing but were actually cancelled. However, this minor oversight did not preclude examination of the claims.

Sequence Compliance

The instant application is now in sequence compliance.

Drawings

Substitute Fig. 2A is approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility. The previous rejection is maintained for the reasons of record advanced on pages 3-6 of the Office action mailed on 3/7/03.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,181

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have asserted that the claimed transgenic mice have a specific and credible utility. Applicants further assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that antagonizing or decreasing expression of a sequence comprising the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1 ameliorates a symptom associated with schizophrenia. Applicants submit that the instantly claimed mice could be used for screening agents intended to treat schizophrenia or affect prepulse inhibition. See 5-7 of the amendment.

In response, the Examiner maintains the instantly claimed transgenic mouse lacks credible or well-established utility. In particular, it is maintained the evidence of record does not provide a correlation between increased prepulse inhibition and any disease or disorder. The specification has asserted that increased prepulse inhibition is opposite to the deficit observed in schizophrenia patients. Paylor et al (see pages 5-6 of Office action mailed on 3/7/03) supports such assertions but however does not provide a correlation between increased prepulse inhibition and any disease or disorder. Moreover, while the specification has purported that the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1 encodes a ubiquitin-specific protease (see page 6), the evidence of record has failed to provide a correlation between any ubiquitin-specific protease related disease/disorder and increased prepulse inhibition. The specification has merely provided general assertions that the claimed transgenic mice may be used to identify agents that affect a phenotype related to the mice. As such it appears that applicant's assertions regarding utility of the claimed transgenic mouse for screening agents that

Application/Control Number: 09/904,181

Art Unit: 1632

could treat schizophrenia are unsupported by the evidence of record. No evidence has been prevented, which correlates the phenotype exhibited by the claimed transgenic mouse, increased prepulse inhibition, and schizophrenia; the evidence of record including the specification, in fact has reported that increased prepulse inhibition is the opposite of the deficit observed in schizophrenia patients. Accordingly, it is maintained that the asserted utility of the instantly claimed transgenic mouse is neither credible nor well established.

Thus, the previous rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26-36 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. The previous rejection is maintained for the reasons of record as it is maintained that the instant specification has not taught how to use the claimed transgenic mouse. See the preceding utility rejection and also the previous Office action mailed on 3/7/03.

Art Unit: 1632

The other rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph have been withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,181

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner(s) should be directed to Peter Paras, Jr., whose telephone number is 703-

308-8340. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30

(Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at 703-305-4051. Papers related to this

application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the

PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with

the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The

CM1 Official Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be

directed to Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Peter Paras, Jr.

PETER PARAS
PATENT EXAMINER

The Faraf

Art Unit 1632

Page 6